arXiv:1301.2716v1 [math.AC] 12 Jan 2013

COHERENCE IN AMALGAMATED ALGEBRA ALONG AN IDEAL

KARIMA ALAOUI ISMAILI AND NAJIB MAHDOU

Abstract. Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism and let J be an ideal of B. In this paper, we investigate the transfert of the property of coherence to the amalgamation $A \bowtie^f J$. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions for $A \bowtie^f J$ to be a coherent ring.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity element, and all modules are unitary.

Let R be a commutative ring. We say that an ideal is regular if it contains a regular element, i.e; a non-zerodivisor element.

For a nonnegative integer n, an R-module E is called n-presented if there is an exact sequence of R-modules:

$$F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \dots F_1 \to F_0 \to E \to 0$$

where each F_i is a finitely generated free R-module. In particular, 0-presented and 1-presented R-modules are, respectively, finitely generated and finitely presented R-modules.

A ring R is coherent if every finitely generated ideal of R is finitely presented; equivalently, if $(0 : a)$ and $I \cap J$ are finitely generated for every $a \in R$ and any two finitely generated ideals I and J of R. Examples of coherent rings are Noetherian rings, Boolean algebras, von Neumann regular rings, and Prüfer/semihereditary rings. For instance see [15].

Let A and B be two rings, let J be an ideal of B and let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism. In this setting, we can consider the following subring of $A \times B$:

$$A \bowtie^f J = \{(a, f(a) + j) / a \in A, j \in J\}$$

called the amalgamation of A with B along J with respect to f (introduced and studied by D'Anna, Finocchiaro, and Fontana in [6, 7]). This construction is a generalization of the amalgamated duplication of a ring along

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D05, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. Amalgamated algebra, coherent ring.

an ideal (introduced and studied by D'Anna and Fontana in [8, 9, 10] and denoted by $A \bowtie I$). Moreover, other classical constructions (such as the $A + XB[X]$, $A + XB[[X]]$, and the $D + M$ constructions) can be studied as particular cases of the amalgamation [6, Examples 2.5 & 2.6] and other classical constructions, such as the Nagata's idealization and the CPI extensions (in the sense of Boisen and Sheldon [2]) are strictly related to it (see [6, Example 2.7 & Remark 2.8]).

One of the key tools for studying $A \bowtie^f J$ is based on the fact that the amalgamation can be studied in the frame of pullback constructions [6, Section 4]. This point of view allows the authors in [6, 7] to provide an ample description of various properties of $A \bowtie^f J$, in connection with the properties of A, J and f. Namely, in [6], the authors studied the basic properties of this construction (e.g., characterizations for $A \bowtie^f J$ to be a Noetherian ring, an integral domain, a reduced ring) and they characterized those distinguished pullbacks that can be expressed as an amalgamation.

This paper investigates a property of coherence in amalgamated algebra along an ideal. Our results generate original examples which enrich the current literature with new families of non-Noetherian coherent rings.

2. Main Results

This section characterizes the amalgamated algebra along an ideal $A \bowtie^f J$ to be a coherent ring. The main result (Theorem 2.2) examines the property of coherence that the amalgamation $A \bowtie^f J$ might inherit from the ring A for some classes of ideals J and homomorphisms f, and hence generates new examples of non-Noetherian coherent rings.

Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism, J be an ideal of B and let n be a positive integer. Consider the function $f^n : A^n \to B^n$ defined by $f^n((\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) = (f(\alpha_i))_{i=1}^{i=n}$. Obviously, f^n is a ring homomorphism and J^n is an ideal of B^n. This allows us to define $A^n \bowtie^{f^n} J^n$.

Moreover, let $\phi : (A \bowtie^f J)^n \to A^n \bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ defined by $\phi((a_i, f(a_i) + j_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) = ((a_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}, f^n((a_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) + (j_i)_{i=1}^{i=n})$. It is easily checked that ϕ is a ring isomorphism. So $(A \bowtie^f J)^n$ and $A^n \bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ are isomorphic as rings.

Let U be a submodule of A^n. Then $U \bowtie^{f^n} J^n := \{(u, f^n(u) + j) \in A^n \bowtie^{f^n} J^n / u \in U, j \in J^n\}$ is a submodule of $A^n \bowtie^{f^n} J^n$.

Next, before we announce the main result of this section (Theorem 2.2), we make the following useful remark.

Remark 2.1. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism and let J be an ideal of B. Then $f^n(\alpha a) = f(\alpha)f^n(a)$ for all $\alpha \in A$ and $a \in A^n$.*
Indeed, let $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^{i=n} \in A^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} f^n(\alpha a) &= f^n(\alpha(a_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) = f^n((\alpha a_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) \\ &= (f(\alpha a_i))_{i=1}^{i=n} \\ &= (f(\alpha)f(a_i))_{i=1}^{i=n} \\ &= f(\alpha)(f(a_i))_{i=1}^{i=n} \\ &= f(\alpha)f^n((a_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) = f(\alpha)f^n(a) \end{aligned}$$

Now, to the main result:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism and let J be a proper ideal of B.*

1. *If $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring, then so is A.*
2. *Assume that J and $f^{-1}(J)$ are finitely generated ideals of $f(A) + J$ and A respectively. Then $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring if and only if A and $f(A) + J$ are coherent rings.*
3. *Assume that J is a regular finitely generated ideal of $f(A)+J$. Then $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring if and only if A and $f(A)+J$ are coherent rings and $f^{-1}(J)$ is a finitely generated ideal of A.*

Before proving Theorem 2.2, we establish the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism and let J be a proper ideal of B. Then:*

1. *$\{0\} \times J$ (resp., $f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$) is a finitely generated ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$ if and only if J (resp., $f^{-1}\{J\}$) is a finitely generated ideal of $f(A) + J$ (resp., A).*
2. *If $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring and $f^{-1}(J)$ is a finitely generated ideal of A, then $f(A) + J$ is a coherent ring.*

Proof. (1) Assume that $J := \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(A) + J)k_i$ is a finitely generated ideal of $f(A) + J$, where $k_i \in J$. It is clear that $\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A \bowtie^f J)(0, k_i) \subset \{0\} \times J$. Let $x := (0, \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(\alpha_i) + j_i)k_i) \in \{0\} \times J$, where $\alpha_i \in A$ and $j_i \in J$. Hence, $x = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(0, (f(\alpha_i) + j_i)k_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i) + j_i)(0, k_i) \in \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A \bowtie^f J)((0, k_i)$. Therefore, $\{0\} \times J \subset \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A \bowtie^f J)(0, k_i)$ and so $\{0\} \times J = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A \bowtie^f J)(0, k_i)$. Conversely, Assume that $\{0\} \times J := \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A \bowtie^f J)(0, k_i)$ is a finitely generated ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$, where $k_i \in J$. It is readily seen that $J = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(A) + J)k_i$, as desired.

Assume that $f^{-1}\{J\} := \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} Ak_i$ is a finitely generated ideal of A, where $k_i \in f^{-1}\{J\}$. It is obvious that $\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} A \bowtie^f J(k_i, 0) \subset f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$.

Let $x =: (\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}\alpha_i k_i, 0) \in f^{-1}\{J\}\times\{0\}$, where $\alpha_i \in A$. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(\alpha_i k_i, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i))(k_i, 0) \in \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A \bowtie^f J)(k_i, 0)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}\{J\}\times\{0\} \subset \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(k_i,0)$ and so $f^{-1}\{J\}\times\{0\} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} A\bowtie^f J(k_i,0)$. Conversely, Assume that $f^{-1}\{J\}\times\{0\} := \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(a_i,0)$ is a finitely generated ideal of $A\bowtie^f J$, where $a_i \in f^{-1}\{J\}$. It is easy to check that $f^{-1}\{J\} = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} Aa_i$, as desired.

(2) Assume that $A\bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring and $f^{-1}\{J\}\times\{0\}$ is a finitely generated ideal of $A\bowtie^f J$. Then $f(A)+J \cong \frac{A\bowtie^f J}{f^{-1}\{J\}\times\{0\}}$ is a coherent ring by [15, Theorem 2.4.1], as desired. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism, J be an ideal of B, and let U be a submodule of A^n. Then:*

1. *Assume that U is a finitely generated A-module and J is a finitely generated ideal of $f(A)+J$. Then $U\bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ is a finitely generated $(A\bowtie^f J)$-module.*
2. *Assume that $f^n(U)\subset J^n$. Then $U\bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ is a finitely generated $(A\bowtie^f J)$-module if and only if U is a finitely generated A-module and J is a finitely generated ideal of $f(A)+J$.*

Proof. (1) Assume that $U := \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} Au_i$ is a finitely generated A-module, where $u_i \in U$ for all $i\in\{1,.....n\}$ and $J^n := \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(A)+J)e_i$ is a finitely generated $(f(A)+J)$-module, where $e_i \in J^n$ for all $i\in\{1,.....n\}$. We claim that $U\bowtie^{f^n} J^n = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(u_i, f^n(u_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(0,e_i)$. Indeed, $\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(u_i,f^n(u_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(0,e_i) \subset U\bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ since $(u_i, f^n(u_i)) \in U\bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ for all $i\in\{1,.....n\}$ and $(0,e_i)\in U\bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ for all $i\in\{1,.....n\}$. Conversely, let $(x, f^n(x)+k)\in U\bowtie^{f^n} J^n$, where $x\in U$ and $k\in J^n$. Hence, $x=\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}\alpha_i u_i \in U$, for some $\alpha_i\in A$ $(i\in\{1,.....n\})$ and $k=\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(\beta_i)+j_i)e_i \in J^n$, for some $\beta_i\in A$ and $j_i\in J$ $(i\in\{1,.....n\})$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(x, f^n(x)+k) &= (\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}\alpha_i u_i, \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} f(\alpha_i)f^n(u_i)) + (0, \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(\beta_i)+j_i)e_i)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i))(u_i, f^n(u_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(0, f(\beta_i)+j_i)(0,e_i)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i))(u_i, f^n(u_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(\beta_i, f(\beta_i)+j_i)(0,e_i).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $(x, f^n(x)+k)\in \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(u_i, f^n(u_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(0,e_i)$ since $(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i))\in (A\bowtie^f J)$ for all $i\in\{1,.....n\}$ and $(\beta_i, f(\beta_i)+j_i)\in A\bowtie^f J$ for all $i\in\{1,.....n\}$ and hence $U\bowtie^{f^n} J^n = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(u_i, f^n(u_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A\bowtie^f J)(0,e_i)$ is a finitely generated $(A\bowtie^f J)$-module, as desired.

(2) Assume that $f^n(U) \subset J^n$. If U is a finitely generated A-module and J is a finitely generated ideal of $f(A)+J$, then $U \bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ is a finitely generated $(A \bowtie^f J)$-module by (1). Conversely, assume that $U \bowtie^{f^n} J^n := \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(A \bowtie^f J)(u_i, f^n(u_i)+k_i)$ is a finitely generated $(A \bowtie^f J)$-module, where, $u_i \in U$ and $k_i \in J^n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is clear that $U = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} Au_i$. On the other hand, we claim that $J^n = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(A)+J)(f^n(u_i)+k_i)$. Indeed, let $j \in J^n$. Then $(0,j) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i)+j_i)(u_i, f^n(u_i)+k_i)$ for some $\alpha_i \in A$ and $j_i \in J$. So $j = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(\alpha_i)+j_i)(f^n(u_i)+k_i) \in \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(A)+J)(f^n(u_i)+k_i)$. Thus $J^n \subset \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(A)+J)(f^n(u_i)+k_i)$. But $f^n(u_i) \in J^n$ for all $i = 1, ...n$ since $f^n(U) \subset J^n$. Hence, $(f^n(u_i)+k_i) \in J^n$ $\forall i$ and so $\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(A)+J)(f^n(u_i)+k_i) \subset J^n$. Therefore, $J^n = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(f(A)+J)(f^n(u_i)+k_i)$ is a finitely generated $(f(A)+J)$-module and so J is a finitely generated ideal of $(f(A)+J)$, completing the proof of Lemma 2.4. $\square$

At this point, it is worthwhile recalling that an R-module M is called a coherent R-module if it is finitely generated and every finitely generated submodule of M is finitely presented.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism, and J be an ideal of B. Assume that J and $f^{-1}(J)$ are finitely generated ideals of $f(A)+J$ and A respectively. Then $f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$ is a coherent $(A \bowtie^f J)$-module provided A is a coherent ring.*

Proof. Since $f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$ is a finitely generated $(A \bowtie^f J)$-module, it remains to show that every finitely generated submodule of $f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$ is finitely presented. Assume that A is a coherent ring and let N be a finitely generated submodule of $f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$. It is clear that $N = I \times \{0\}$, where $I = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} Aa_i$ for some positive integer n and $a_i \in I$. Consider the exact sequence of A-modules:

$$0 \to Kerv \to A^n \to I \to 0 \tag{1}$$

where $v((\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \alpha_i a_i$. Then $Kerv = \{(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{i=n} \in A^n / \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \alpha_i a_i = 0\}$. On the other hand, it is easily verified that $N = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} A \bowtie^f J(a_i, 0)$. Consider the exact sequence of $(A \bowtie^f J)$-modules:

$$0 \to Keru \to (A \bowtie^f J)^n \to N \to 0 \tag{2}$$

where $u((\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i)+j_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i)+j_i)(a_i, 0)$. Then, $Keru = \{(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i)+j_i)_{i=1}^{i=n} \in (A \bowtie^f J)^n / \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \alpha_i a_i = 0\}$. So $Keru = \{((\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}, f^n((\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) + (j_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}) \in A^n \bowtie^{f^n} J^n / (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^{i=n} \in Kerv\}$ and hence $Keru = Kerv \bowtie^{f^n} J^n$. But I is a finitely presented ideal of A since A is a coherent ring, so $Kerv$ is a finitely generated A-module (by a sequence (1)) and hence $Keru = Kerv \bowtie^{f^n} J^n$ is a finitely generated $(A \bowtie^f J)$-module (by lemma 2.4 (1)). Therefore, N is a finitely presented $(A \bowtie^f J)$-module by a sequence (2) and hence $f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$ is a coherent $A \bowtie^f J$-module, to complete the proof of Lemma 2.5. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism, and J be an ideal of B. If $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring and J is a regular ideal of $f(A) + J$, then $f^{-1}(J)$ is a finitely generated ideal of A.*

Proof. Assume that $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring and J contains a regular element k. Set $c = (0, k) \in A \bowtie^f J$. One can easily check that:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(0 : c) & = & \{(a, f(a) + j) \in A \bowtie^f J / (a, f(a) + j)(0, k) = 0\} \\ & = & \{(a, f(a) + j) \in A \bowtie^f J / (f(a) + j)k = 0\} \\ & = & \{(a, f(a) + j) \in A \bowtie^f J / f(a) + j = 0\} \\ & = & \{(a, 0) \in A \bowtie^f J / a \in f^{-1}\{J\}\} \\ & = & f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}.\end{array}$$

Since $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring, then $(0 : c) = f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$ is a finitely generated ideal of $A \bowtie^f J$. Therefore, $f^{-1}\{J\}$ is a finitely generated ideal of A, as desired. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.2

(1) If $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring, then A is a coherent ring by [15, Theorem 4.1.5] since A is a module retract of $A \bowtie^f J$.

(2) Assume that J and $f^{-1}(J)$ are finitely generated ideals of $f(A) + J$ and A respectively. Then A and $f(A)+J$ are coherent rings since $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring (by Theorem 2.2 (1) and Lemma 2.3 (2)). Conversely, assume that A and $f(A) + J$ are coherent rings. Since $\frac{A \bowtie^f J}{f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}} \cong f(A) + J$, $f(A) + J$ is a coherent ring and $f^{-1}\{J\} \times \{0\}$ is a coherent $A \bowtie^f J$-module (by Lemma 2.5), then $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring (by [15, Theorem 2.4.1]).

(3) Follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 (2) and Lemma 2.6. This completes the proof of the main Theorem. $\square$

The following Corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 (3).

Corollary 2.7. *Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism, B be an integral domain and let J be a proper and finitely generated ideal of $f(A) + J$. Then $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring if and only if A and $f(A) + J$ are coherent rings and $f^{-1}(J)$ is a finitely generated ideal of A.*

The Corollary below follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 (2) which examines the case of the amalgamated duplication.

Corollary 2.8. *Let A be a ring and I be a proper ideal of A.*

(1) If $A \bowtie I$ is a coherent ring, then so is A.
(2) Assume that I is a finitely generated ideal of A. Then $A \bowtie I$ is a coherent ring if and only if A is a coherent ring.

The next Corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 (2).

Corollary 2.9. *Let A be a ring, I be an ideal of A, $B := \frac{A}{I}$, and let $f : A \to B$ be the canonical homomorphism ($f(x) = \overline{x}$).*

(1) Assume that J and $f^{-1}(J)$ are finitely generated ideals of B and A respectively. Then $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring if and only if A and B are coherent rings.
(2) Assume that J is a regular finitely generated ideal of B. Then $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring if and only if A and B are coherent rings and $f^{-1}(J)$ is a finitely generated ideal of A.

The aforementioned result enriches the literature with new examples of coherent rings which are non-Noetherian rings.

Example 2.10. *Let A be a non-Noetherian coherent ring, I be a finitely generated ideal of A, $f : A \to B(= \frac{A}{I})$ be the canonical homomorphism, and let J be a finitely generated ideal of A. Then $A \bowtie^f \overline{J}$ is a non-Noetherian coherent ring.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.9, $A \bowtie^f \overline{J}$ is a coherent ring since A and B are both coherent rings and J is a finitely generated ideal of A. On the other hand, $A \bowtie^f \overline{J}$ is a non-Noetherian ring by [6, Proposition 5.6, p. 167] since A is a non-Noetherian ring. □

Example 2.11. *Let K be a field and consider the power series ring $A = K[[X_1, \ldots\ldots, X_n, \ldots]]$ and let $I := X_1A + X_2A$. Then $A \bowtie I$ is a non-Noetherian coherent ring.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.8, $A \bowtie I$ is a coherent ring since A is coherent and I is a finitely generated ideal of A. On the other hand, $A \bowtie I$ is a non-Noetherian ring by [10, Corollary 3.3] since A is a non-Noetherian ring. □

Example 2.12. *Let $A := \mathbb{Z} + X\mathbb{Q}[X]$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers, and $\mathbb{Q}$ is the field of rational numbers. Let $I := X\mathbb{Q}[X]$, $B := \frac{A}{I}(\cong \mathbb{Z})$, $f : A \to B$ be the canonical homomorphism and let J be a nonzero ideal of B. Then $A \bowtie^f J$ is a non-Noetherian coherent ring.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.9, $A \bowtie^f J$ is a coherent ring since A and B are both coherent rings and J (resp., $f^{-1}(J) = n_0\mathbb{Z} + X\mathbb{Q}[X]$ for some positive integer n_0) is a finitely generated ideal of B (resp., A). On the other hand, $A \bowtie^f J$ is a non-Noetherian ring by [6, Proposition 5.6, p. 167] since A is a non-Noetherian ring. □

References

1. V. Barucci, D. F. Anderson and D. E. Dobbs, *Coherent Mori domains and the principal ideal theorem*, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), 1119–1156.
2. M. B. Boisen and P. B. Sheldon, *CPI-extension: Over rings of integral domains with special prime spectrum*, Canad. J. Math. 29 (1977), 722-737.
3. W. Brewer and E. Rutter, *$D + M$ constructions with general overrings*, Michigan Math. J. 23 (1976), 33–42.
4. M. Chhiti, M. Jarrar, S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou, *Prüfer conditions in an amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal*, Submitted.
5. D. Costa, *Parameterizing families of non-Noetherian rings*, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994), 3997–4011.
6. M. D'Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro and M. Fontana, *Amalgamated algebras along an ideal*, in: Commutative Algebra and Applications, Proceedings of the Fifth International Fez Conference on Commutative Algebra and Applications, Fez, Morocco, 2008, W. de Gruyter Publisher, Berlin (2009), 155–172.
7. M. D'Anna, C. A. Finacchiaro and M. Fontana, *Properties of chains of prime ideals in amalgamated algebras along an ideal*, J. Pure Applied Algebra 214 (2010), 1633-1641.
8. M. D'Anna, *A construction of Gorenstein rings*; J. Algebra 306(2) (2006), 507-519.
9. M. D'Anna and M. Fontana, *amalgamated duplication of a ring along a multiplicative-canonical ideal*, Ark. Mat. 45(2) (2007), 241-252.
10. M. D'Anna and M. Fontana, *An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: the basic properties*, J. Algebra Appl. 6(3) (2007), 443-459.
11. D. E. Dobbs, S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou, *n-coherent rings and modules*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Dekker, 185 (1997), 269–281.
12. D. E. Dobbs, S. Kabbaj, N. Mahdou and M. Sobrani, *When is $D + M$ n-coherent and an (n, d)-domain?*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Dekker, 205 (1999), 257–270.
13. D. E. Dobbs and I. Papick, *When is $D + M$ coherent?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 56 (1976), 51–54.
14. S. Gabelli and E. Houston, *Coherent like conditions in pullbacks*, Michigan Math. J. 44 (1997), 99–123.
15. S. Glaz, *Commutative coherent rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1371, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
16. S. Glaz, *Finite conductor rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2000), 2833–2843.
17. S. Glaz, *Controlling the Zero-Divisors of a Commutative Ring*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Dekker, 231 (2003), 191–212.
18. J. A. Huckaba, *Commutative rings with zero divisors*, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 1988.
19. S. Kabbaj and N. Mahdou, *Trivial extensions defined by coherent-like conditions*, Comm. Algebra 32(10) (2004), 3937–3953.
20. N. Mahdou, *On Costa's conjecture*, Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), 2775–2785.
21. J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

Karima Alaoui Ismaili, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez, Box 2202, University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco.

$E-mail\ address:\ alaouikarima2012@hotmail.fr$

Najib Mahdou, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez, Box 2202, University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco.

E − mail address : *mahdou@hotmail.com*